EXHIBIT 23

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Kenneth A. Gallo (pro hac vice) Joseph J. Simons (pro hac vice) Craig A. Benson (pro hac vice) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LI 2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420 kgallo@paulweiss.com jsimons@paulweiss.com cbenson@paulweiss.com | LP | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 7 8 9 10 | Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452) Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346) TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 355 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 788-8200 Facsimile: (415) 788-8208 Email: staylor@tcolaw.com Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com | | | |
| 12 13 | and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of | | | |
| 14 | [additional counsel listed on signature page] | | | |
| 15 16 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 117 117 118 118 119 120 120 121 122 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 | In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-01173; Electrograph Systems, Inc. et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05724; Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261; Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05264; Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05686; Interbond Corporation of America v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05727; | Case No. 07-cv-05944 SC MDL No. 1917 DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANTS TECHNICOLOR SA, F/K/A THOMSON SA AND TECHNICOLOR USA, INC., F/K/A THOMSON CONSUMER ELECTRONICS, INC. DATE: AUGUST 6, 2014 | | |
| | -1- | | | |

DIRECT ACTIONS PLAINTIFFS' THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO THOMSON DEFENDANTS Case No. 07-cv-05944 SC; MDL No. 1917

| 1 2 | Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, cv-05726; | et al., No. 13- | |
|----------|--|---|--|
| 3 | al., No. 13-cv-05723; | | |
| 5 | P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corporation, et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05725; | | |
| 6 7 | Schultze Agency Services, LLC v. Technicolor SA, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-05668; | | |
| 8 | Tachnicolar SA No. 13 ov 05262: | | |
| 10 | Took Data Coun at al. v. Hitashi, Ital. at al. No. | | |
| 11 | PROPOUNDING PARTIES: | Direct Action Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics | |
| 12 | FROFOUNDING FARTIES: | Corporation and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.; Electrograph Systems, | |
| 13 | | Inc. and Electrograph Technologies Corp.; Alfred H. Siegel, solely as Trustee of the Circuit City Stores, | |
| 14 | | Inc. Liquidating Trust; Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., | |
| 15 | | Best Buy Stores, L.P., Bestbuy.com, L.L.C., and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc.; Target Corp., Sears, Roebuck, | |
| 16 | | and Co., Kmart Corp.; Interbond Corporation of America; Office Depot, Inc.; Costco Wholesale | |
| 17 | | Corporation; P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corporation, MARTA Cooperative of America, Inc., | |
| 18 19 | | and ABC Appliance, Inc.; Schultz Agency Services, LLC on behalf of Tweeter Opco, LLC and Tweeter | |
| 20 | | Newco, LLC; and Tech Data Corporation and Tech Data Product Management, Inc. | |
| 21 | RESPONDING PARTIES: | Technicolor SA, f/k/a Thomson SA and Technicolor | |
| 22 | REST ONDING TARTIES. | USA, Inc., f/k/a Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc. | |
| 23 | SET NO.: | THREE | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the above named Direct Action Plaintiffs, through their undersigned counsel, request that Defendants Technicolor USA, Inc., f/k/a Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc. ("Thomson Consumer") and Technicolor SA, f/k/a Thomson SA ("Thomson SA") answer the following Interrogatories within thirty (30) days of service and supplement its Interrogatory answers, as necessary, to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e). Thomson Consumer and Thomson SA are directed to serve verified answers at PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON, LLP, Attn: Craig A. Benson, 2001 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20006, or at another time and place as may be mutually agreed upon by counsel for the parties.

DEFINITIONS

- 1. The terms "You," "Your," and "Yourself" mean Technicolor SA, f/k/a Thomson SA and Technicolor USA, Inc., f/k/a Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc., and present or former Employees, officers, directors, agents, predecessors, successors, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, or any other person acting on Your behalf.
- 2. The term "Affiliated Entity(ies)" means any entity(ies) involved in the production, pricing, marketing, distribution, and/or sale of CRTs (as those terms are defined herein) at any time during the Relevant Period (as defined herein) in which You, or any division, subdivision, business unit, parent, subsidiary, affiliate, or joint venture thereof, held any ownership interest at any time during the Relevant Period.
- 3. The term "Person" means any individual or group of individuals, corporation, partnership, association, governmental entity, department, commission, bureau or any other kind of legal or business entity.
- 4. The term "Complaints" means the operative complaints filed against You by propounding Direct Action Plaintiffs in Master Case No. 07-cv-05944 SC, MDL No. 1917.
- 5. The terms "Defendant" and "Defendants" mean any defendant named by a Direct Action Plaintiff in these actions and their present or former Employees, officers, directors, agents, predecessors, successors, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, or any other person acting on their behalf.

5

9

10

12

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

- 6. The term "Employee" means any individual currently in the employ of, or at any time employed by, or acting as the agent of a Defendant as defined herein.
 - The term "CRT(s)" means cathode ray tube(s). 7.
 - 8 The term "CRT Product(s)" means product(s) containing cathode ray tube(s).
- 9. The term "Communication" means without limitation, oral or written communications of any kind, such as electronic communications, e-mails, facsimiles, telephone communications, correspondence, exchange of written or recorded information, or face-to-face meetings. The phrase "communication between" is defined to include instances where one party addresses the other party but the other party does not necessarily respond.
- 10. The term "Document" includes all documents and electronically stored information as defined in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a). A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of this term.
- 11. When referring to a Document, "Identify" means, to the extent known, the (i) type of document; (ii) general subject matter; (iii) date of the document; and (iv) author(s), sendee(s), addressee(s), recipient(s), and custodian(s).
- 12 When referring to any fact, act, occurrence, transaction, statement, communication, document, or other matter, "Identify," "Describe," "Explain," or "State" means to describe and identify the facts constituting such matter.
- 13. Unless otherwise noted, the term "Relevant Period" means the period from March 1, 1995 through December 31, 2007.
 - "All" shall be construed as all, each, any, and every. 14.

INSTRUCTIONS

- Answers to these Interrogatories are to be based upon all knowledge or 1. information available to You, including without limitation all information or knowledge possessed by any person, including without limitation any Employee, agent, attorney, expert witness, representative, or other advisor subject to Your instruction, direction, or control.
- 2. Where an Interrogatory cannot be answered due to insufficient knowledge, specify the nature of the inquiries made in an attempt to answer the Interrogatory.

- 3. You should answer each Interrogatory fully, unless it is objected to. When making any objection, state with specificity the reasons for the objection.
- 4. Where a complete answer to an Interrogatory is not possible, the Interrogatory should be answered to the extent possible, and a statement should be provided stating why an incomplete answer is given, along with the identity of any sources from which more complete information may be obtained.
- 5. Any claim of ambiguity in interpreting a particular Interrogatory or a definition or instruction shall not be utilized as a basis for refusing to answer. Rather, You shall specify the language deemed to be ambiguous and the interpretation utilized in the response to the Interrogatory.
- 6. When asked to identify a natural person, state the person's name, employer, position, dates of employment or tenure, and home address for all times during the Relevant Period. If any of such information has changed during the Relevant Period, specify the time period to which the information provided in Your answer pertains.
- 7. When asked to identify any entity other than a natural person, state the name and address of the principal office or headquarters. If any of the information has changed during the Relevant Period, specify the time period to which the information provided in Your answer pertains.
- 8. If you elect to produce business records in response to an Interrogatory pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d), You shall produce the records as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the Interrogatory. If the document is being produced in its native electronic format (allowing the document to retain its metadata), identify the document using its hash or other appropriate electronic identification and identify the Interrogatories to which the document is responsive. If the document is not being produced in electronic form, identify the document using the applicable bates numbers or specifically identify the type of document being produced (e.g., letter, memorandum, telegram, contract, invoice, etc.), its date and author(s), its custodian, and every person to whom such document or any copy thereof was given or sent. For all documents produced pursuant to Rule

records.

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

33(d), identify the name of the employee, officer, or agent certifying the documents as business

INTERROGATORIES

Interrogatory No. 1.

Identify with particularity Your policies with respect to the retention or destruction of Documents including, but not limited to, the retention or destruction of Documents referring or relating to CRTs, CRT Products, or the allegations in the Complaints.

Interrogatory No. 2.

Identify each and every Person or department You informed of their obligation to retain Documents referring or relating to CRTs, CRT Products, or the allegations in the Complaints.

Interrogatory No. 3.

For each Person or department You Identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, state the date(s) on which You informed such Person or department of their obligation to retain Documents referring or relating to CRTs, CRT Products, or the allegations in the Complaints.

Interrogatory No. 4.

Identify any Communication between You and Your employees, staff, agents, and representatives and each person acting or purporting to act on Your behalf, related to their obligations to retain Documents or any litigation hold or order or instruction to preserve Documents related to or potentially related to CRTs, CRT Products, or the allegations in the Complaints.

Interrogatory No. 5.

Identify all steps You or your employees, staff, agents, and representatives and each person acting or purporting to act on your behalf, have taken to preserve Documents potentially referring or relating to CRTs, CRT Products, or the allegations in the Complaints, including, but not limited to, the date(s) each such step was taken.

Interrogatory No. 6.

State whether any Documents or information potentially referring or relating to CRTs, CRT Products, or the allegations in the Complaints, including, but not limited to, contracts, invoices, purchase orders, bills of sale, purchasing data, sales data, and any other Documents reflecting price negotiations, were destroyed, discarded, erased, deleted, purged, or otherwise lost.

Interrogatory No. 7.

Describe in detail the contents of any Documents or information related to Your sales of CRTs and CRT Products, including, but not limited to, contracts, invoices, purchase orders, bills of sale, purchasing data, sales data, and any other Documents reflecting price negotiation, which was destroyed, discarded, erased, deleted, purged, or otherwise lost, including the date it was destroyed, discarded, erased, deleted, purged or lost.

Interrogatory No. 8.

Identify each Person who had any role or responsibility in destroying, discarding, erasing, purging, deleting or losing each of the Documents or information You Identified in response to Interrogatory No. 7, and describe in detail the circumstances under which each such Document or information was destroyed, discarded, erased, deleted, purged or lost.

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2773-24 Filed 08/27/14 Page 9 of 13

| 1 | DATED: August 6, 2014 | By: /s/ Craig A. Benson | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 2 | | Kenneth A. Gallo (pro hac vice) | |
| 3 | | Joseph J. Simons (pro hac vice) | |
| 5 | | Craig A. Benson (pro hac vice) | |
| 4 | | PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP | |
| 5 | | 2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 | |
| | | Telephone: (202) 223-7300 | |
| 6 | | Facsimile: (202) 223-7420 | |
| 7 | | kgallo@paulweiss.com | |
| 8 | | jsimons@paulweiss.com cbenson@paulweiss.com | |
| | | coonson@paarweiss.com | |
| 9 | | Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452) | |
| 10 | | Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346) | |
| 1.1 | | TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 355 | |
| 11 | | San Francisco, California 94111 | |
| 12 | | Telephone: (415) 788-8200 | |
| 13 | | Facsimile: (415) 788-8208 | |
| 13 | | Email: staylor@tcolaw.com Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com | |
| 14 | | Email: jpatenen@teolaw.com | |
| 15 | | Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corporation | |
| 1.0 | | and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of | |
| 16 | | America, Inc. | |
| 17 | | /s/ Nicholas H. Hesterberg | |
| 18 | | David J. Burman (pro hac vice) | |
| | | Cori G. Moore (pro hac vice) Eric J. Weiss (pro hac vice) | |
| 19 | | Nicholas H. Hesterberg (pro hac vice) | |
| 20 | | Steven D. Merriman (pro hac vice) | |
| 21 | | Perkins Coie LLP | |
| 21 | | 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 | |
| 22 | | Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Telephone: 206.359.8000 | |
| 23 | | Facsimile: 206.359.9000 | |
| | | Email: DBurman@perkinscoie.com | |
| 24 | | Email: CGMoore@perkisncoie.com | |
| 25 | | Email: EWeiss@perkinscoie.com Email: NHesterberg@perkinscoie.com | |
| 26 | | Email: SMerriman@perkinscoie.com | |
| 20 | | | |
| 27 | | Joren Bass, Bar No. 208143 | |
| 28 | | JBass@perkinscoie.com Perkins Coie LLP | |
| | | | |
| | - 8 - DIRECT ACTIONS PLAINTIFFS' THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO THOMSON DEFENDANTS | | |

DIRECT ACTIONS PLAINTIFFS' THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO THOMSON DEFENDANTS Case No. 07-cv-05944 SC; MDL No. 1917

DIRECT ACTIONS PLAINTIFFS' THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO THOMSON DEFENDANTS

Case No. 07-cv-05944 SC; MDL No. 1917

- 10 -

DIRECT ACTIONS PLAINTIFFS' THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO THOMSON DEFENDANTS Case No. 07-cv-05944 SC; MDL No. 1917

- 11 -

Facsimile: 202-628-5116 E-mail: jmurphy@crowell.com aheaven@crowell.com 2 3 Attorneys for Target Corp. 4 /s/ Samuel Randall Samuel Randall 5 Richard Alan Arnold William J. Blechman 6 Kevin J. Murray KENNY NACHWALTER, P.A. 7 201 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 1100 8 Miami, FL 33131 Tel: 305-373-1000 9 Fax: 305-372-1861 Email: rarnold@knpa.com 10 Email: wblechman@knpa.com Email: kmurray@knpa.com 11 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - 12 -

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2773-24 Filed 08/27/14 Page 13 of 13